Application Serial No. 09/720,762
Attorney Docket No. 114474.00014
Amendment and Response to Final Office Action, Submitted August 5, 2008

Claim 39 (previously presented): The gasket according to claim 33, wherein the pre-filled syringe further comprises a luer lock portion formed in a nozzle of the syringe at an end of the syringe barrel opposite the gasket.

Claim 40 (previously presented): The gasket according to claim 33, wherein a second tapered slant is formed between the peripheral side surface of the gasket that contacts the inner surface of the syringe barrel and the restriction.

Claim 41 (canceled): The gasket according to claim 33, wherein only the peripheral side surface that contacts the inner surface of the syringe barrel is laminated with silicon.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11 and 13-40 are now pending, a total of 35 claims. Independent claims 1, 9, 23 and 33 are currently amended. Dependent claims 2, 4, 5, 10, 12 and 41 are canceled.

The Office Action mailed March 5, 2008 rejects claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11 and 13-38 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, based on the limitation "wherein the restriction has a height at least one-third the length of the peripheral side surface" recited in independent claims 1, 9, 23 and 33. In addition, claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11, 13-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The March 5, 2008 Office Action fails to address claims 39 and 40, which are currently pending.

I. Claim Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11 and 13-38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement based on the limitation that "the restriction has a height at least one-third the length of the peripheral side surface."

Adequate support for that claim limitation is found in at least Figure 2 of the original

Application Serial No. 09/720,762
Attorney Docket No. 114474.00014
Amendment and Response to Final Office Action, Submitted August 5, 2008

specification. Without prejudice to or waiving any of its objections to the Examiner's rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 9, 23 and 33 to recife other features of the invention. Applicant therefore requests withdrawal of the §112 rejections as moot.

II. Independent Claim 1 (Currently Amended)

Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 16-28 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trull (U.S. 6,080,136) in view of Sudo et al. (U.S. 5,009,646).

Claim 1 is presently amended to recite as follows:

1. A gasket for a pre-filled syringe, the syringe comprising a bartelinto which liquid is charged, wherein a peripheral side surface of the gasket that
contacts an inner surface of the syringe barrel is provided with a restriction.

wherein the restriction has an inner diameter with a ratio of 0.8 or more and less
than 1.0 to a diameter of the gasket, and wherein a periphery of a bottom surface
of the gasket that is not for contact with the liquid is formed into a tapered shart,
and wherein only the peripheral side surface that contacts the inner surface of the
syringe barrel is laminated with polyethylene fluoride resin.

Trull does not teach or suggest a laminated gasket. Nor does Trull teach or suggest the desirability of a certain relationship between the diameter of a gasket and the diameter of an annular restriction of the gasket. In the embodiment of Figure 2 of Applicant's disclosure, which is also described at pages 4-5, a ratio of at least 0.8 and less than 1.0 between the diameter (b) of the gasket main body and the diameter (b-2a) of the restriction is shown. With such a ratio, the gasket obtains sufficient rigidity to avoid bending relative to the axis of the syringe barrel and prevent leakage. By contrast, the Trull reference teaches that the so-called "cocking" problem of prior art plungers is overcome by a driving head coupling arrangement

Application Serial No. 09/720,762
Attorney Docket No. 114474.00014
Amendment and Response to Final Office Action, Submitted August 5, 2008

whereby flexible resilient members at the plunger rear engage a driving head affixed to an injector. (col. 7, line 14 to col. 8, line 9; col. 11, lines 30-35)

Nor does the Sudo reference teach or suggest a specific relationship between the diameters of the gasket and a restriction thereof. Sudo also does not teach to selectively laminate a gasket as recited in amended claim 1, and the Office Action cites no portion of Sudo to the contrary.

PAGE 13/13 * RCVD AT 8/5/2008 7:13:00 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-4/20 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:0597121395 * DURATION (mm-ss):27-24